

Sh Tarlok Singh, (M-9877560070) House No 36, Aman City, Near Dashmesh Nagar, Jhugia Road, Kharar, Distt Mohali-140301

.....Appellant

..Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o SSP, Mohali

First Appellate Authority O/o SSP, Mohali

.....Respondent

## AC No. 4922 of 2021

Present : (i) None for the appellant

(ii) For the respondent :Ms Rajwinder Kaur, HC (82830-60022)

#### ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.02.2022 vide which the abovementioned case file was sent to the Deputy Registrar to be placed before the Hon'ble CIC. However, after discussions with the Hon'ble CIC this case file was received back in the Bench. Hence, notice of hearing was sent to the parties for 29.06.2022, i.e, today.

2. The Appellant is not present today. However, he has filed his submission through Commission diary no. 6639 dated 31.03.2022. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. The Respondent states that she has brought the complete information again today to be handed over to the Appellant.

4 After perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the Appellant is not present today. The Respondent has brought the certified copy of the information to be handed over to the Appellant. <u>Hence, the copy of the information, as submitted by the</u> <u>Respondent, is sent to the Appellant along with these orders</u>.

5. In view of the foregoing, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 29.06.2022

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Col Chand Singh Dhumi (Retd.),(M-9815911152) # 152, Sector 45A ,Chandigarh.

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Fatehagrh Sahib.

#### .....Respondent

## CC No. 118 of 2022

Present: (i) Co. Chand Singh Dhumi the complainant (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant (8728872400)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 25.01.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 04.03.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



#### CC No. 118 of 2022

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

**First Appellate Authority** O/o I.G.Ropar Range Ropar



Sh Satpal Singh, DSP (M-97811-00487), H.No 1617, Sector 32A, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana

.....Complainant

.....Respondent

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o ADGP (NRI Wing) pb Phase-7, SAS Nagar, Punjab

#### CC No. 288 of 2022

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 06.04.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 20.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



#### CC No. 288 of 2022

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 29.06.2022

#### REGISTERED POST

**First Appellate Authority** O/o ADGP (NRI) Punjab Phase 7, SAS Nagar, Mohali



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

## CC No. 297 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Harjodh Singh, Clerk ( 9530500326)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 02.02.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the information running into 174 pages have already been sent to the appellant. The appellant has received the same.

4. In view of the forgoing, no cause of action is left, hence the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Kaarj Singh Advocate, S/o Sh.Dalbir Singh, Village Bhattu, PO Bhuwanm Tehsil Tohana, Distt. Fatehgarh sahib Haryana – 125113 (7056865767)

.....Complainant

..Vs

#### **Public Information Officer**,

O/o DGP Vigilance & Security , PSPCL, Patiala

.....Respondent

#### <u>CC No. 301 of 2022</u>

#### Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the Complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Naveen Dogra, Sr. Assistant (9417918492) and Sh. Sanjeev Saggar, PIO (9988291121)

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. Today the complainant is absent. He has sent his submissions via mail which is taken on record.

4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## <u>CC No. 301 of 2022</u>

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 6. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

*First Appellate Authority* o/o ADGP (V&S), PSPCL, Patiala



Sh Vasdev Garg, Mittwa Street, Water Works road, Mansa-151505

.....Complainant

.....Respondent

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Pb Pb Civil Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh

CC No. 304 of 2022

Present: None for the parties.

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 14.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



#### CC No. 304 of 2022

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

Dated: 29.06.2022

First Appellate Authority o/o Principal Secretary , Finance Department, Punjab Punjab Civil Sect. Sector 1, Chandigarh



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 305 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Surinder Bhandari, Jr. Assistant (9877661361)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 11.01.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 305 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after

giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, O/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100)

(President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

.....Respondent

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar

#### CC No. 307 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the Complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Des Raj, Clerk (9501854887)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 10.11.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 307 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI

Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, O/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 309 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Harjodh Singh, Clerk (9530500326)

# <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 10.06.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that complete information has been sent to the complainant. He further states that he has brought another copy of information today in the Commission, which is handed over to the complainant.

4. Since, the information is handed over to the complainant, no further cause of action is left, hence the complaint case file by the complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

#### Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 311 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Dr. Chanderpal Singh, Deputy Director (9855491954)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 10.11.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## <u>CC No. 311 of 2022</u>

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI

Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, O/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 313 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : None is present on behalf of the respondent

# <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 16.12.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 313 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI

Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, o/o DC SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

**Public Information Officer,** O/o DC, SBS Nagar

# .....Respondent CC No. 314 of 2022

..Vs

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Surinder Bhandari, Jr. Assistant (9877661361)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 16.12.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



#### CC No. 314 of 2022

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

#### REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, O/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 315 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. A.S. Thind, PIO ( 9872222584)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 16.08.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 315 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI

Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority o/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar

# .....Respondent CC No. 316 of 2022

..Vs

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : None is present on behalf of the respondent

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 21.09.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.



#### CC No. 316 of 2022

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

#### REGISTERED POST

**First Appellate Authority** o/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar

# .....Respondent

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. A.S. Thind, DRO-cum-PIO (9872222584)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 10.11.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 317 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI

Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority o/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 318 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap, the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Harmesh Lal, Chief Manager-cum-PIO (8146624424), Smt. Anju Prashar, District Field Officer BACKFINCO (9465834007), Smt. Surinder Kaur, District Manager-cum-APIO (9915305073) and Sh. Kamal Kumar, (9988945632)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 10.11.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the complete information has already been sent to the complainant. The complainant states that he has received the information.

4. Since the complainant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 29.06.2022



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar

# .....Respondent

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. A.S.Thind, DRO-cum-PIO (9872222584)

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 10.11.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 319 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date

to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

**First Appellate Authority** o/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 320 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jatinder Singh, Insp-cum-APIO

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 19.01.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the complete information has already been sent to the complainant. Another copy of the information has been provided to the complainant today in the Commission.

4. Since, the complainant has received the information and is satisfied, hence no cause of action left. Therefore the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 321 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jatinder Singh, Inspector-cum-APIO

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 02.02.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the complete information has already been sent to the complainant. Another copy of the information has been provided to the complainant today in the Commission.

4. Since, the complainant has received the information and is satisfied, hence no cause of action left. Therefore the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 322 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ravi Inder Singh, DPRO-cum-PIO (9814700891)

# <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 16.08.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the complete information has already been sent to the complainant. The complainant states that he has received the information.

4. Since the complainant has received the information, no further cause of action is left, hence the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated: 29.06.2022



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 323 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. A.S. Thind , DRO-cum-PIO (9872222574)

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 11.01.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



## CC No. 323 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI

Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# REGISTERED POST

**First Appellate Authority** o/o DC, SBS Nagar



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

# CC No. 324 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jatinder Singh, Inspector-cum-APIO

## <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 24.01.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the complete information has already been sent to the complainant. Another copy of the information has been provided to the complainant today in the Commission.

4. Since, the complainant has received the information and is satisfied, hence no cause of action left. Therefore the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh Kimti Lal Kashyap, (M-7589757100) (President NRI & RTI Cell Shiv Sena) S/o Sh Krishan Lal Kashyap, R/o House No 12, Street No.1, Teacher Colony, Nawanshaher

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, SBS Nagar ..Vs

.....Respondent

#### CC No. 325 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Kimti Lal Kashyap the Complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. A.S. Thind, DRO-cum-PIO (9872222584)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 16.08.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 23.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

## REGISTERED POST

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DC, SBS, Nagar



Sh. Sukhdev Singh, S/o Sh. Karnail Singh Ward No. 06, Near Post Office, Banur, Distt. Mohali (8146796779)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o EO, Nagar Council, Banur Distt. Mohali

.....Respondent

#### CC No. 328 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Sukhdev Singh the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ashok Kumar, 9628800004, Sh. Baljinder Singh, EO-cum-PIO ( 9815113705)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 18.04.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 25.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



#### CC No. 328 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal

with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

## REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, O/o ADC (Urban Development) Mohali,



Sh Kulwant Singh, S/o Sh. Amar Singh R/o Ward No. 4, Khamanoo, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (7973387938)

.....Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o EO,Nagar Panchayat Khamanoo Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib

# .....Respondent

Present: (i) Sh. Kulwant Singh the complainant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Hitesh Kumar, Accountant-cum-APIO ( 9041107500)

..Vs

### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 06.04.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 27.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the information demanded by the complainant is third party information.

4. The complainant states that he is not satisfied with the same.

5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



#### <u>CC No. 332 of 2022</u>

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. 7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

## REGISTERED POST

First Appellate Authority, ADC (Urban Development), Fatehgarh Sahib

Sh Varinder Singh, (94637-33170) S/o Sh Siaam Singh, R/o Village Satabgarh, tehsil dera Bassi, Distt SAS Nagar

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, SAS Nagar

#### CC No. 344 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Varinder Singh the complainant

(ii) For the respondent : Smt. Rajwinder Kaur, Hc ( 8283060022) , Sh. Ravinder Singh, HC ( 9814510645)

.....Complainant

.....Respondent

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 03.03.2022 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 31.05.2022 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 29.06.2022 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



#### CC No. 344 of 2022

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.
 The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as

the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post. Sd/-*

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

## <u>REGISTERED POST</u>

First Appellate Authority, O/o D.I.G. Ropar



Sh. Meetinder Singh Mann, S/o Late Sh. Gurmit Singh, R/o 3095, Sector 28D, Chandigarh

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mohali First Appellate Authority , O/o SSP, Mohali

.....Respondent

.....Appellant

#### AC No. 2198 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Smt. Rajwinder Kaur, HC ( 8283060022)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 19.10.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.05.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022 i.e. today in the Commission.

3. The appellant is absent today.

4. The respondent states that the information cannot be provided to the appellant under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI, as an enquiry is pending. She has filed reply. Copy of the same be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.

5. Since, an enquiry is pending, the information cannot be provided to the appellant under Section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act 2005. Hence, no more action is left. Therefore, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022



Sh. Ankush Gupta,(M : 9988164854) S/o Sh. BalaBax Gupta, H No 165, Sector 20 C, Fatehgarh Sahib.

..Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, Fatehgarh Sahib.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o DC, Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

AC No. 1056 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Amandeep Singh, Senior Assistant (8728872400)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 07.12.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.03.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022 i.e. today in the Commission.

3. The appellant has sent a letter that he has received the information and is satisfied.

4. Since, the appellant has received the information and is satisfied, no further cause of action is left. Therefore the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022



.....Appellant

SH D.R.Singla,(M-7087079234) # 4961,Sector 38 W,Chandigarh

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Nagar Council, Kharar, Distt Mohali First Appellate Authority O/o ADC (Urban Development) Mohali

# .....Respondent ......Respondent

Present: (i) Sh. D.R. Singla the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Amit Dureja, APIO (7009471766) and Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Draftsman (8295271122)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 29.10.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 03.03.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022 i.e. today in the Commission.

3. The respondent states that the information has already been sent to the appellant . The respondent has also filed point-wise reply.

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file, it is observed that the respondent has filed proper point-wise reply. The same is taken on record. *Copy of the same be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.* 

5. In view of the above reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence no further cause of action is left. Therefore the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

Sh. Anand Gupta, (M-9815012365) S/o Late Sh. Ram Prasad Gupta, H No 3523, Sector 32 A, Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana-141010

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority O/o DC, Mohali.

.....Respondent

.....Appellant

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨ

Informativ

#### AC No.1055 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Smt. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector-cum-PIO (8054840526)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 25.11.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.03.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022 i.e. today in the Commission.

3. The appellant is absent today.

4. The respondent Smt. Amandeep Kaur , O/o Vigilance Bureau, Punjab states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant.

5. After hearing the respondent and going through the case file , it is observed that the appellant filed RTI with the PIO, o/o DC, Mohali. Further PIO, o/o DC, Mohali forwarded the same to the PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau, Punjab, as the information is lying with them. Smt. Amandeep Kaur is appearing on behalf of the PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau states that the proper reply has already been sent to the appellant. It is observed that the reply has also been sent to the Commission office by the PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau Punjab. The appellant



#### AC No.1055 of 2022

is absent today without any intimation. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the reply of the respondent. *However, copy of the reply as submitted by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.* 

6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

#### REGISTERED POST

CC: PIO, o/o Vigilance Bureau , Punjab, Vigilance Bhawan, Sector 68, SAS Nagar

Sh. Himmat Singh, S/o Sh. Hazoora Singh # 872/XI, Sector 65, Mohali 160062 (9878897675)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o District Revenue Officer, Deputy Commissioner Office Mohali First Appellate Authority, O/o District Revenue Officer, Deputy Commissioner Office

Mohali .....Respondent

ਨਜ ਸੂਚਨ

Putty Punjab

#### AC No. 2146 of 2022

Present: (i) Sh. Himmat Singh the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Harbinder Singh, APIO (9815530404)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 27.01.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.05.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022 i.e. today in the Commission.

3. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent states that he has brought reply today, which is handed over to the appellant. He further states that the information demanded by the appellant is available in Punjab Village Common Lands Act (Rules and Regulation 1961). The appellant can obtain the same from the Act.

5. In view of the above reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, no cause of action is left. Hence the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022



Sh. KJV Singh, S/o Sh. KKV Singh, House No. 60A, Sector 44A, Chandigarh -160043 (9650774076)

.....Appellant

..Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o DC, Mohali

First Appellate Authority , O/o DC, Mohali

.....Respondent

#### AC No. 2196 of 2022

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Ravinder Singh, Steno (9877269400) Sh. Harpreet Singh, Jr. Assistant

#### <u>ORDER</u>

The RTI application is dated 15.01.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.05.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 29.06.2022 i.e. today in the Commission.

3. The appellant is absent today.

4. The respondent states that he has brought the information today in the Commission.

5. After hearing the respondent, it is ascertained that he has brought the information today in the Commission to be handed over to the appellant. But the appellant is absent. Hence copy of the same is taken on record. Further, *copy of the information , as submitted, by the respondent be sent to the appellant alongwith these orders.* 



### AC No. 2196 of 2022

6. Since, the information has been given by the respondent, no further cause of action is left. Therefore the above said appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 29.06.2022